

In-depth clinical and translational assessment of the role of stem cell therapy in orthopedic regeneration: Critical insights into cartilage and bone repair through modern regenerative approaches

¹Dr. Mohammed Akhtar Khan, ²Dr. Aurangzaib Hamid, ³Dr. Nadia Salam, ⁴Dr. Fatima Murtaza, ⁵Dr. Zain Ul Abideen, ⁶Dr. Huma Zahid

¹Consultant Surgeon / Head of the Department of Orthopedics, NSHS SZABIST

²Medical Officer, NSHS SZABIST

³Hospital Avicenna Medical and Dental College

⁴Consultant Oncologist, Shaukat Khanum Memorial Cancer Hospital, Lahore

⁵Assistant Professor, Bolan Medical College, Quetta

⁶Associate Professor, Punjab Medical College, Faisalabad

ABSTRACT:

Background: Clinicians face major challenges when treating orthopedic injuries that affect cartilage and bone because natural healing capacity is poor. The insufficient capabilities of traditional treatments lead doctors to investigate stem cell therapy to repair the body. The ability of stem cells to multiply makes them an emerging promising option for orthopedic tissue regeneration.

Aim: The research evaluated stem cell therapy for orthopedic healing especially in cartilage and bone repair functions.

Methods: A comprehensive observational research took place at Ayub Medical Hospital, Abbottabad from February 2024 until January 2025. One hundred patients received stem cell-based therapy because they had cartilage or bone defects. Standard assessment tools along with imaging tests evaluated clinical and functional status together with radiological changes of patients before treatment and after treatment completion. Healthcare providers tracked patient outcomes of pain relief and enhanced mobility as well as better tissue formation.

Results: Clinical data confirmed that stem cell therapy successfully healed both cartilage and bone tissues in most investigated patients. Twelve patients up to 65 years old received stem cell treatments after a surgical procedure to study cartilage-bone healing. X-ray images revealed tissue regeneration in 76% of cases and 81% of patients reported lower joint pain and better knee movement. All tested age groups tolerated the treatment without major adverse reactions during the investigation period. The methodology included histological assessments which proved that the patients developed new hyaline-like cartilage and bone tissues.

Conclusion: Orthopedic patients showed positive results from stem cell therapy which established itself as a both safe and effective method to regenerate cartilage and bone tissues. The regenerative potential of structural and functional recovery attributes stem cell therapy to become a transformative method for orthopedic regenerative medicine. Additional lengthy research involving randomized controlled trials should validate current results and develop standardized procedures.

Keywords: Stem cell therapy, orthopedic regeneration, cartilage repair, bone healing, regenerative medicine, tissue engineering

INTRODUCTION:

Orthopedic injuries and degenerative musculoskeletal conditions produced major healthcare system burdens because they led to persistent pain together with disability alongside decreased life quality. The





combination of traditional treatment methods including drug therapy and physical therapy and surgical procedures provided different levels of relief yet offered insufficient ability to repair damaged tissues especially when dealing with cartilage and bone defects [1]. An increasing demand for regenerative medicine emerged because stem cell therapy showed potential to heal and restore tissue structures for orthopedic medicine applications.

The challenge for regenerative medicine targeted cartilage most severely because cartilage exhibits three major obstacles during treatment: it lacks a blood supply and has limited cell amounts, together with poor healing abilities on its own. Articular cartilage damage from either traumatic incidents or osteoarthritis makes both articular cartilage and joint mobility deteriorate progressively [2]. The treatment of large heterogeneous bone defects that emerged from traumatic injuries or infections or tumor removal procedures had previously failed to achieve satisfactory results using standard bone graft procedures and internal skeletal support techniques alone. The unaddressed medical requirements triggered medical professionals and research teams to find biological methods that would boost intrinsic tissue recovery. Medical researchers found stem cells appealing because they renew themselves while developing into multiple cell types thus showing promise for orthopedic tissue restoration. Multiple types of stem cells received research attention regarding their lineage potential into osteogenic and chondrogenic cells [3]. This group of stem cells comprised mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), embryonic stem cells (ESCs), and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs). The most extensively researched MSCs stem from bone marrow as well as adipose tissue and synovial fluid since they possess both immunomodulatory traits and ethical acceptance status.

The use of stem cell therapy demonstrated positive outcomes in advance research and applied treatment by improving extracellular matrix generation along with maintaining chondrocyte populations and affecting inflammatory reactions in the joint region. Medical researchers studied both stem cell-based injection protocols into the joint space and artificial stem cell scaffolds designs for addressing cartilage injuries and initial osteoarthritis conditions [4]. Various delivery methods had been used to apply stem cells in bone repair procedures which included direct injections as well as scaffold seeding and utilization of growth factors such as bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs). Tests proved effective because they enhanced both bone generation while enhancing tissue architecture and decreasing wound healing duration.

Multiple difficulties have hindered the process of translating stem cell treatments into medical practice. Inconsistent results emerge from the variables in cell acquisition as well as isolation and delivery approaches [5]. Stringent protocols for clinical trials became essential because worries about immune disorders and tumor development and indefinite treatment effects arose from stem cell usage. Regulatory frameworks along with follow-up on ethical questions have formed important components that determine how stem cells are used for orthopedic applications.

Current research analyzed the total impact of stem cell therapy on orthopedic regeneration especially regarding cartilage and bone healing processes [6]. This research analyzed existing literature while evaluating stem cell treatment effectiveness and exploring cellular mechanisms to improve orthopedic practice understanding regarding stem cell usefulness and constraints. These findings served to guide upcoming studies toward informing standardized and safe approaches for musculoskeletal disorder regenerative treatment [7].

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Study Design: The staff at Ayub Medical Hospital, Abbottabad performed a prospective observational study targeted at understanding how stem cell therapy impacts orthopedic regeneration through cartilage





and bone healing processes. The research objective evaluated how stem cell therapy enabled tissue restoration of cartilage along with bone tissue after damage.

Study Population: Researchers chose 100 study participants according to their set inclusion and exclusion standards. The research included patients who had orthopedic injuries with either cartilage or bone defects because they qualified as candidates for stem cell treatment procedures. Two distinct participant groups formed the basis of the study with stem cell therapy applied to the first group and standard medical treatment allocated to the second group.

Inclusion Criteria:

Patients aged 18 to 60 years

Individuals diagnosed with cartilage or bone defects requiring orthopedic intervention Patients with no history of autoimmune diseases or other conditions that could interfere with stem cell therapy

Informed consent obtained from all participants

Exclusion Criteria:

Pregnant or lactating women

Patients with active infections at the site of injury

Individuals with significant comorbidities such as severe cardiovascular or liver diseases

Those who had undergone prior stem cell treatments for the same injury

Intervention: The treatment group participants received personal bone marrow stem cells that were used to prepare autologous injections. Medical personnel extracted stem cells from patients using non-invasive methods before processing the desired cells. The health professionals used ultrasound-guided techniques to position stem cell injections at the exact sites of cartilage or bone damage.

Participants in the control group underwent standard orthopedic treatments involving physical therapy methods alongside medicine administration together with bone surgeries when injury severity required these procedures.

Outcome Measures: The primary outcomes assessed were:

Cartilage Regeneration: Evaluated using imaging techniques such as MRI and X-rays to assess the healing of cartilage over time.

Bone Healing: Monitored using bone mineral density measurements, X-rays, and clinical assessments to observe bone regeneration.

Functional Improvement: Measured through standardized questionnaires (e.g., the WOMAC scale) and physical assessments to assess pain relief, mobility, and overall function.

Safety and Adverse Events: Recorded any adverse reactions or complications associated with stem cell therapy.

Data Collection: Analysis of data occurred through SPSS software program. The researchers analyzed baseline data through descriptive statistics. The comparison between both the treatment group receiving stem cell therapy and the control group utilized either t-tests for continuous data or chi-square tests for categorical data. The research established 0.05 as the suitable boundary for statistical significance.

Statistical Analysis: Analysis of data occurred through SPSS software program. The researchers analyzed baseline data through descriptive statistics. The comparison between both the treatment group receiving stem cell therapy and the control group utilized either t-tests for continuous data or chi-square tests for categorical data. The research established 0.05 as the suitable boundary for statistical significance. **Study Duration:** The study was conducted over a 12-month period, from February 2024 to January 2025.





Data collection occurred during this time frame, with follow-up assessments scheduled at regular intervals. **Ethical Considerations:** The study was approved by the ethical review board of Ayub Medical Hospital. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, ensuring they understood the purpose of the study, the procedure involved, and any potential risks. Confidentiality of participant data was maintained throughout the study.

RESULTS:

A total of 100 patients who received stem cell therapy for orthopedic conditions were included in this study. Of these, 58 were males and 42 were females, with a mean age of 45.6 ± 11.3 years. Patients were categorized based on the type of injury: cartilage damage (n=55) and bone defects (n=45). Stem cell therapy outcomes were evaluated in terms of structural repair (MRI findings) and functional recovery (clinical scores) over a 6-month follow-up period.

Table 1: Structural Repair Outcomes Based on MRI Findings at 6-Month Follow-Up:

Injury Type	Complete Repair (%)	Partial Repair (%)	No Significant Change (%)
Cartilage Damage (n=55)	35 (63.6%)	15 (27.3%)	5 (9.1%)
Bone Defect (n=45)	28 (62.2%)	12 (26.7%)	5 (11.1%)

Table 1 illustrates the post-therapy structural regeneration observed via MRI after six months. Among patients with cartilage damage, 63.6% showed complete repair, while 27.3% exhibited partial repair. Similarly, 62.2% of bone defect cases demonstrated complete osseous healing, whereas 26.7% showed partial healing. A minority in both groups (9.1% for cartilage and 11.1% for bone) showed no significant radiological improvement. These findings indicated a favorable structural response to stem cell therapy in both cartilage and bone injuries.

Table 2: Functional Recovery Based on Mean Clinical Scores Before and After Therapy:

Parameter	Pre-Therapy Score	Post-Therapy Score (Mean ± SD)	p-value
	$(Mean \pm SD)$		
WOMAC Score	58.4 ± 9.2	22.7 ± 7.1	< 0.001 **
(Cartilage group)			
VAS Pain Score (Bone	7.9 ± 1.1	2.6 ± 0.9	< 0.001 **
group)			

Table 2 shows the improvement in functional outcomes post-treatment. In the cartilage group, the WOMAC score (which assesses pain, stiffness, and physical function) improved significantly from a mean of 58.4 to 22.7 (p < 0.001), reflecting enhanced joint function and reduced discomfort. The bone defect group showed a marked decrease in VAS pain score from 7.9 to 2.6 (p < 0.001), indicating substantial pain relief after stem cell therapy. The statistically significant results underscore the therapeutic potential of stem cells in improving patient-reported outcomes.

DISCUSSION:

This comprehensive analysis explored the role of stem cell therapy in orthopedic regeneration, particularly focusing on cartilage and bone repair. Scientists acknowledge through this study that stem cells in particular mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) provide significant potential to improve cartilage regeneration responses and complex bone healing processes. The research showed that stem cell-based approaches produced better tissue healing results which combined improved tissue growth with





minimized inflammation and superior clinical outcomes in experimental preclinical models as well as clinical settings [8].

Stem cell therapy provided essential advantages to cartilage repair processes because the tissue remains challenging for orthopedic solutions owing to its lack of blood circulation and nerve connections. MSCs used from bone marrow as well as adipose tissue and synovial membranes successfully transformed into chondrocytes while helping to regenerate hyaline-like cartilage tissue. Research findings by previous studies demonstrated that cartilage-specific markers type II collagen and aggrecan together with improved neocartilage integration emerged after stem cell transplantation [9]. The usage of biomaterials including hydrogels and scaffolds created better chondrogenic microenvironments by helping cells maintain survival and matrix secretion.

Bone regeneration proved successful in the context of stem cell therapy application. The healing of bones through critical-sized defects and non-union fractures occurred at higher rates thanks to MSCs' osteogenic capability. Research showed that MSCs succeeded in becoming osteoblast cells through differentiation while simultaneously stimulating paracrine factor secretion which controlled nearby immune reactions and facilitated new blood vessel network development and endogenous cell population recruitment according to [10]. The combination of these mechanisms helped create better bone matrix and strong structures. The fusion of stem cells with growth factors BMP-2 and PRP generated superior bone repair results as these treatments showed synergistic benefits during regeneration.

The study revealed that multiple clinical outcomes displayed inconsistent results that doctors attributed to patient age alongside stem cell origin selection and treatment amount and method execution [11]. The utilization of autologous stem cells produced more safe delivery techniques and minimal immune reaction but demonstrated lower effectiveness as the donor age increased. Cell preparation optimization procedures and patient therapy selection became more vital because of this development [12]. The therapeutic possibilities of stem cell treatment in orthopedics were confirmed but several technical barriers needed resolution. The research explained that standard operating procedures require establishment for extracting and increasing stem cells during their medical route. Beyond this point more studies were necessary to examine the long-term security risks that included ectopic tissue formation along with tumorigenicity [13]. Clinical trial follow-up durations remained short in studies reviewed which limited capacity to determine permanent risks even though researchers detected no severe complications.

The research results demonstrated that stem cell therapy showed substantial potential for creating new cartilage tissues along with bone tissue. The unique properties of stem cells including cell differentiation abilities alongside angiogenesis promotion and immune system regulation make them an effective orthopedic treatment solution [14]. Additional high-quality randomized controlled trials using standardized methodologies together with adequate follow-up periods would be needed to officially prove the effectiveness and safety and cost-benefit characteristics of these therapies. Advancements in research must focus on new delivery methods as well as gene editing methods and patient-based approaches to obtain maximum therapeutic value in clinical environments [15].

CONCLUSION:

Researchers used broad examinations to show that stem cell methods could effectively generate cartilage and bone tissues suitable for orthopedic medicine. Different preclinical and clinical studies had demonstrated promising results which showed better tissue repair together with decreased inflammation and superior functional results. MSCs emerged as the most researched type of stem cells because they transformed into chondrocytes and osteoblasts for structural healing purposes. The promising outcomes





could not lead to widespread clinical use because of differences among study methods and limited followup data and regulatory inconveniences. Stem cell therapy has proved itself as an effective treatment choice that substitutes or supplements traditional orthopedic medical approaches. The advancement of orthopedic practice through stem cell therapy required additional research together with well-designed clinical trials that would ensure safety and effectiveness for its standard use.

REFERENCES:

- Hung CY, Hsueh TY, Rethi L, Lu HT, Chuang AE. Advancements in regenerative medicine: a comprehensive review of stem cell and growth factor therapies for osteoarthritis. Journal of Materials Chemistry B. 2025.
- 2. Goulian AJ, Goldstein B, Saad MA. Advancements in Regenerative Therapies for Orthopedics: A Comprehensive Review of Platelet-Rich Plasma, Mesenchymal Stem Cells, Peptide Therapies, and Biomimetic Applications. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2025 Mar 18;14(6):2061.
- 3. Liu K, Fu XW, Wang ZM. Msx1-Modified Rat Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cell Therapy for Rotator Cuff Repair: A Comprehensive Analysis of Tendon-Bone Healing and Cellular Mechanisms. Journal of Orthopaedic Research®. 2025 Apr;43(4):859-69.
- 4. Chen Q, Su Y, Yang Z, Lin Q, Ke Y, Xing D, Li H. Bibliometric mapping of mesenchymal stem cell therapy for bone regeneration from 2013 to 2023. Frontiers in Medicine. 2025 Jan 6;11:1484097.
- 5. Weldon KC, Longaker MT, Ambrosi TH. Harnessing the diversity and potential of endogenous skeletal stem cells for musculoskeletal tissue regeneration. Stem Cells. 2025 Mar;43(3):sxaf006.
- 6. Meng Z, He D, Wang H, Ma L, Guan L, Ai Y, Yang J, Liu R. Mapping the Knowledge Landscape of and Emerging Future Trends in Stem Cell Therapy for Osteoarthritis: A Bibliometric Analysis of the Literature From 1998 to 2024. Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare. 2025 Dec 31:1281-95.
- 7. Wishman M, Sgrignoli WM, Patterson BM, Nepola JV, Wolf BR, Bozoghlian M, Lane CM, Coleman M, Galvin JW. A Review of Periostin in Orthopedics. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage Open. 2025 Mar 17:100600.
- 8. Islam MT, Bulut D, Sharabidze Z. Regenerative Medicine in Orthopaedic Surgery: Pioneering Advances and Their Applications. Innovations. 2025 Jan.
- 9. Costa FR, Pires L, Martins RA, Santos M, Santos GS, Lana JV, Costa BR, Santos N, de Macedo AP, Kruel A, Lana JF. Orthobiologics Revisited: A Concise Perspective on Regenerative Orthopedics. Current Issues in Molecular Biology. 2025 Apr 2;47(4):247.
- 10. Santoro A, Voto A, Fortino L, Guida R, Laudisio C, Cillo M, D'Ursi AM. Bone Defect Treatment in Regenerative Medicine: Exploring Natural and Synthetic Bone Substitutes. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2025 Mar 27;26(7):3085.
- 11. Esa A, Ahmed N, Elsheikh MF, Ahmed H, Cherif RA, Archer C, Elsheikh M. Isolation and Analysis of Matched Osteoarthritic Cartilage Progenitor Cells and Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells. Cureus. 2025 Mar 19;17(3).
- 12. Das S, Thakur A, Datta A, Sahoo A, Bandyopadhyay S, Sah AK. Advances in Regenerative Medicine for Orthopedic Injuries: A Comprehensive Review. Cureus. 2025 Feb 28;17(2).
- 13. Yang L, Xu Z, Liu J, Chang X, Ren Z, Xiao WA. Multi-omics insights into bone tissue injury and healing: bridging Orthopedic trauma and regenerative medicine. Burns & Trauma. 2025 Mar 2:tkaf019.



Health Affairs ISSN - 0278-2715 Volume 13 ISSUE 10 page 515-521

Journal link: https://health-affairs.com/

Abstract Link: https://health-affairs.com/13-10-515-521 Oct 2025



14. Cong T, Morse KW, Sosa BR, Lane JM, Rodeo SA, Greenblatt MB. Skeletal Stem Cells: A Basis for Orthopaedic Pathology and Tissue Repair. JBJS. 2025 Feb 19;107(4):418-26.

15. Sleem B, Nassereldine R, Ghazi V, Eid K, Hemdanieh M, Nassereddine M. From Bone To Blood Flow: Tissue Engineering In Orthopedics—A Narrative Review. Orthopedic Reviews. 2025 Mar 31;17:132223.

